## RECEIVED

LAW OFFICES

### COHN AND MARKS

DEC - 4 1998

PEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

JOEL H. LEVY
ROBERT B. JACOBI
ROY R. RUSSO
RONALD A. SIEGEL
LAWRENCE N. COHN
RICHARD A. HELMICK

WAYNE COY JR

J. BRIAN DE BOICE

SUSAN V. SACHS KEVIN M. GOLDBERG JOSEPH M. DI SCIPIO SUITE 300 1920 N STREET N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20036-1622 OFFICE OF THE SECRETAMOF COUNSEL MARCUS COHN LEONARD H. MARKS STANLEY S. NEUSTADT RICHARD M. SCHMIDT, JR.

TELEPHONE (202) 293-3860

FACSIMILE (202) 293-4827

HOMEPAGE WWW.COHNMARKS.COM

(202) 452-4836

INTERNET ADDRESS:

DIRECT DIAL:

December 4, 1998

### VIA HAND DELIVERY

Ms. Magalie R. Salas Secretary Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20554

Re: Reply Comments in MM Docket 98-93

Dear Ms. Salas

Transmitted herewith, on behalf of Hampton Roads Educational Telecommunications Association, Inc., are the original and five (5) copies of its Reply Comments in the above-captioned Docket.

ie Coy, Jr

Should you have any questions with respect to this filing, please contact the undersigned.

**Enclosure** 

ce: Richard J. Bodroff, Esq.

No. of Copies rec'd\_ List ABCDE

DS1/50066-1

### RECEIVED

DEC - 4 1998

#### **BEFORE THE**

PEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

## Federal Communications Commission

In the Matter of	)	
	)	
1998 Biennial Regulatory Review -	)	MM Docket No. 98-93
Streamlining of Radio Technical Rules in	)	
Pars 73 and 74 of the Commission's Rules	)	

To: The Commission

# REPLY COMMENTS OF HAMPTON ROADS EDUCATIONAL TELECOMMUNICATIONS ASSOCIATION, INC.

Hampton Roads Educational Telecommunications Association, Inc., licensee of noncommercial educational broadcast station WHRO-FM, Norfolk, Virginia, and applicant for a new noncommercial educational FM station, BPED-971015MB, Mathews, Virginia, ("HRETA"), hereby submits these Reply Comments in the above-captioned proceeding which are responsive those Comments filed by Central Virginia Educational Telecommunications Corporation, licensee of noncommercial educational broadcasting station WCVE-FM, Richmond, Virginia, ("CVETC").

1. The essence of the CVETC Comments is to support the Commission's proposal in the *NPRM* to permit a broadcast station to elect to receive interference from an authorized station in connection with a unilateral extension of its service contour, and to extend that principle to receipt of interference from authorized construction permits or pending applications as well. CVETC then cites the example of its proposal to expand the signal of WCVE-FM which is in conflict with the HRETA application for the new station at Mathews.

Attached to this pleading is an Engineering Statement by Joseph M. Davis, P.E.

of the firm of Cavell, Mertz & Davis, Inc., which further describes the prohibited overlap. As

can be readily seen from Figure 1, the interfering predicted contour from the proposed WCVE

facility has considerable overlap with the protected contour of the proposed Mathews facility, and

such overlap is prohibited by §73.509(a) of the Rules of the Commission. However, there is no

overlap between the proposed Mathews interfering contour and the predicted contour of the

proposed WCVE facility. Thus the Mathews facility would not interfere with the proposed

WCVE service area. Therefore, the example cited by WCVE is inapposite to the proposition cited

above since its proposed expansion will cause but not receive interference.

3. Notwithstanding the instant case, HRETA generally supports the notion that a

existing educational broadcaster ought to be able to expand its service area up to the point that it

borders on creating or causing interference, and if that point means they will receive interference,

then the broadcaster ought to be able to decide whether to accept interference or not. However,

HRETA foresees that the possibility of receiving-but-not-causing interference could lead to endless

proposals and counterproposals in an effort to gain every last foot of area served, that the

processing line at the Commission could not support it. Therefore HRETA take the position that

this matter may require further study and analysis before it is adopted.

Respectfully submitted,

Wayne Cov

Cohn and Marks

1920 N Street, N.W., Suite 300

Washington, D.C. 20036-1622

December 4, 1998

2.

### **ENGINEERING STATEMENT**

prepared for

Hampton Roads Educational Telecommunications Association, Inc.

Mathews, Virginia Ch. 206B1 5 kW 119 m

This statement has been prepared for Hampton Roads Educational Telecommunications

Association, Inc. ("HRETA"), in support of Reply Comments to the Commission's Notice of

Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM) 1998 Biennial Regulatory Review — Streamlining of Radio

Technical Rules Parts 74 and 74 of the Commission's Rules. Specifically, this statement addresses

those comments filed in this matter by Central Virginia Educational Telecommunications

Corporation ("CVETC") as they relate to a pending proposal by HRETA to construct a new FM

radio station.

By way of background, HRETA has an application pending at the Commission for a

construction permit for a new FM station on 89.1 MHz (Ch. 206B1) to serve Mathews, Virginia (file

number BPED-971015MB). CVETC subsequently tendered a conflicting application to modify the

facilities of WCVE-FM, 88.9 MHz (Ch. 205B), Richmond, Virginia (file number BPED-971202IB).

Specifically, the WCVE-FM application involves an increase in effective radiated power (ERP),

which causes a mutually exclusive situation due to prohibited overlap between their proposal and

that filed by HRETA.

The prohibited overlap is depicted in the map attached as **Figure 1**. As shown in **Figure 1**,

the interfering 54 dB $\mu$  F(50,10) predicted contour from the proposed WCVE-FM facility has

considerable overlap with the protected 60 dB $\mu$  F(50,50) predicted contour of the proposed HRETA

Mathews facility. This overlap, which indicates interference to the Mathews facility's service area,

is prohibited by §73.509(a) of the Commission's rules. As such, the proposals are mutually

exclusive. There is no overlap between the proposed HRETA Mathews interfering 54 dB $\mu$  F(50,10)

predicted contour and the protected 60 dB $\mu$  F(50,50) predicted contour of either the licensed or

proposed WCVE-FM facility. Thus, the Mathews facility would not interfere with the proposed

WCVE-FM facility's service area.

<sup>1</sup>See MM Docket 98-93, FCC 98-117, released June 15, 1998.

### ENGINEERING STATEMENT

(page 2 of 2)

The *CVETC* comments (and supporting engineering report) filed in the NPRM, however, essentially states that *CVETC* would agree to receive interference within its service area from the Mathews (application) facility. To the contrary, as shown in **Figure 1**, the Mathews proposal would not interfere with the proposed WCVE-FM operation. The only overlap involved with the mutually exclusive situation between these two facilities is the proposed WCVE-FM interfering contour that overlaps the protected Mathews contour, which would result in interference to the Mathews facility's service area. The overlap could be eliminated if the proposed WCVE-FM ERP was specified at 11 kW, which would still provide an increased service area beyond the presently licensed 8.3 kW ERP WCVE-FM facility.

### Certification

Under the penalty of perjury, the undersigned hereby certifies that the foregoing statement was prepared by him or under his direction, and that it is true and correct to the best of his knowledge and belief. Mr. Davis is a principal in the firm of *Cavell, Mertz & Davis, Inc.*, is a Registered Professional Engineer in Virginia, holds a Bachelor of Science degree from Old Dominion University in Electrical Engineering Technology, and has submitted numerous engineering exhibits to various local governmental authorities and the Federal Communications Commission. His qualifications are a matter of record with that agency.

Joseph M. Davis, P.E. December 3, 1998

Cavell, Mertz & Davis, Inc. 10300 Eaton Place Suite 200 Fairfax, VA 22030 (703) 591-0110

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 3<sup>rd</sup> day of December, 1998

My commission expires <u>4-30-2</u>000

